



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,369	05/08/2001	Julie F. Lyman	7784-000185 2389	
27572	7590 06/05/2006		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			TRAN, HAI V	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/851,369	LYMAN, JULIE F.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Hai Tran	2623						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice or owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</u>								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since a Notice of Appeal has been filed.	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal c	of the appeal.					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			pecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jooted Gamio.						
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): .		(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendm	ent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidate	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 1, Applicant argues, "Sklar et al. does not describe bi-directional communication between the transmission stations (12,18) and the aircraft 34."

In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation "bi-directional communication with a ground segment via satellite link" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Claim 12, Applicant further argues, "Sklar et al. does not describe bi-directional communication between the transmission stations 12,18 and the aircraft 34. furthermore, the regional control unit 44 apparently does not use data from the transmission station 12 or satellite 24 to configure the system receiver station 36 to receive programs in the coverage area 30."

In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant because Sklar clearly discloses the use of Ku-Band to communicate between the satellite 24 and an area on earth through uplink/downlink stream including the moving receiver 34 (Col. 7, lines 32-45). Moreover, the tracking antenna of the aircraft 34 receives broadcast entertainment transmissions from a 1st transmitter, i.e., 12,24 having a 1st coverage area so the system receiver station 36 is able to track its location within the coverage area (Col. 4, lines 1-25).

Claim 15, Applicant argues, Sklar et al. Does not anticipate a "network operating center configured to coordinate multicasts of data content by said ground stations..."

In response, Sklar 's Fig. 1 inherently includes NOC within the network that comprise signal source A and B (Col. 7, lines 12-45).

Claim 19, Applicant argues, "it would not all have been obvious to modify the system of Sklar et al.., which does not provide communication via satellite from the aircraft to the transmission station (12,18), to format entertainment data content with encapsulated IP packets as taught by Nelson."

In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant because Sklar clearly discloses that communication system of Sklar able to perform bi-directional uplink/downlink using Ku-Band (Col. 7, lines 32-45). In view of that it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sklar to format the selected data content with encapsulated IP packets so to provide Internet service to users, as suggested by Nelson (Col. 3, lines 30-60).

HATTRAN

DRIMARY EXAMINER